

Senator Caffery who are now ready to denounce the measure not framed in accordance with the agreement of the Democratic caucus, to which all but Senator Hill pledged themselves. One of the oldest members of the Senate said today after the caucus, that in the preparation of every tariff bill with which he has been connected during his public life the Louisiana delegation always comes to the front as the most persistent and conspicuous factor in the negotiations. He added that in every case the measure prepared by the Republican party the Louisiana interest had been taken care of, and that, nevertheless, they are always found voting against the bill. The determination with which the Louisiana Senators keep themselves and their cause in the foreground, the measure prepared by the Republican party the Louisiana interest had been taken care of, and that, nevertheless, they are always found voting against the bill. The determination with which the Louisiana Senators keep themselves and their cause in the foreground, the measure prepared by the Republican party the Louisiana interest had been taken care of, and that, nevertheless, they are always found voting against the bill.

Mr. Vilez spoke in reply to this, and again stated that the bill had been taken out of the hands of the Louisiana delegation, and that he made a speech in which he said that the Louisiana Senators had the floor in the Senate about all the time lately, and while they are constantly complaining that the sugar interests of their State are not properly protected and accusing the Democrats of bad faith and treachery, they are nevertheless found voting with the Democratic Senators. On the night of July 2, when the tariff bill was passed, both of the Senators from Louisiana were almost beside themselves with rage at what they called the lack of faith of their Democratic colleagues in abandoning the proposition for the maintenance of the sugar bounty. They were so indignant, he alleged, that they were dealing of the Senators on the Finance Committee that one of them (Mr. Caffery) actually voted on the passage of the tariff bill, but when he found that his colleagues (Mr. Blanchard) was not so indignant as he, he changed his vote, and thus made it possible for the bill to pass. Mr. Vilez had the Louisiana Senators made good their repeated threats they would have voted no and defeated the bill which they professed to oppose.

Now the Senate is suffering again from an attack of Louisianaism. For more than an hour yesterday Mr. Caffery talked away about the sugar interests of Louisiana, and went through the same performance to-day. Nobody had a clear idea of what he was talking about, and some of his colleagues thought he was drunk. In the public press, and private conversation, the Louisiana Senators have announced over and over again that the least amount of protection they will accept as a return for their vote is a 45 percent ad valorem duty on all sugars and a payment of one-half the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. If they get that or better, they say, they will vote for the bill. If less is offered them they will vote against it. Without their votes the bill cannot pass, but nevertheless its opponents are not figuring on these two votes to defeat it.

Secretary Carlisle's participation in today's caucus removed from the minds of his friends the hope, which they have repeatedly expressed during the past few days, that he would resign the indignity placed upon him by the President in appointing him to the Cabinet. Those who have known Mr. Carlisle well for many years are utterly at a loss to account for his apparently utter lack of feeling in the matter. It is true that they have been forced by his submission to the President to believe that he has lost much of his independence in political matters since coming under the domination of President Cleveland, but still they wonder that such little pride as is left does not induce him to resign his office. Several times during the brief interview which he had with the President, Secretary Carlisle has been humiliated by the action of the President in taking the reins in his own hands and riding rough shod over the policy of the Secretary of the Treasury.

In this fact, in the opinion of Mr. Carlisle's friends, is the explanation of the President's latest caprice. In the spring of 1893, when the whole country was interested in the struggle that Secretary Carlisle was making to maintain the gold reserve of the Treasury, he took the public into his confidence and announced that he was making preparations to resign his office. The circular of instructions had already been issued on this subject when the President, wincing under the criticism of the Congress, and the public, pushed Secretary Carlisle, and in a few days after the interview announced that the Administration had never for a moment thought of doing what Secretary Carlisle had already made arrangements to do. Then the long fight over the silver repeal bill brought a sudden change in the President's attitude, and he announced to the country that he was now abandoning Democratic principles as guilty of perjury and dishonesty. Secretary Carlisle is the most conspicuous of that faction of Democrats; but instead of resenting the President's insult, he went today to the conference with the President, and he was not only helped by another compromise, which may possibly be rejected with the same scorn with which his previous ones have been.

All of the Democrats in Washington, except a few of the more conspicuous worshippers of Grover Cleveland, are now in a state of confusion. The expression of astonishment at the submission of Secretary Carlisle and his apparent failure to appreciate the fact that he has been humiliated before the country, and that the country knows it. His attendance upon the Senate caucus to-day, however, kills all hope of his friends in the accuracy of the widely circulated reports that he contemplated handing his resignation to President Cleveland as once.

THE SENATE CAUCUS.

It Agrees to Send the Tariff Bill Back to Conference Without Action.

By the United Press.

WASHINGTON, July 23.—If the action of today's Democratic caucus is obeyed, to-morrow will witness an end of the debate on the conference report on the tariff bill and that measure will go back to the conference without instructions. After a debate in caucus running over three hours yesterday and upward of that time to-day, Mr. Jarvis's resolution that the bill be sent back without instructions was agreed to by unanimous consent, although at no time did Mr. Jarvis make any motion to strike out the differential duty on refined sugar. The caucus met promptly at 3 o'clock, and the first speech was that of Mr. Gordon, who counselled unity of action and hoped that the result of the meeting would be that all proposed motions looking to instructions to the conference would be withdrawn and the bill sent back with a simple instance of the Senate upon its amendments. Several other speeches were made in this spirit by Senators Gray, Jarvis, Lindsay, Walsh, and others.

The first step in this direction necessitated the ascertainment of what Mr. Vilez intended to do in regard to his motion to strike out the differential duty placed upon refined sugar. In response to a direct question put to him, Mr. Vilez said that he was in favor of striking out this differential, because he believed that by so doing much of the opposition that was manifested against the bill would be removed, and the way would be paved for the adjustment of the tariff between the two Houses. With this duty, which he said was the chief bone of contention, out of the way, there could be nothing to interfere with a speedy settlement of all the troubles and the early passage of the bill, and the approval of the President. For that reason Mr. Vilez said it was a proposition to press the motion and cause it to prevail if possible.

Mr. Caffery and Mr. Blanchard both spoke on the sugar schedule, and advocated a "flat" duty of 45 percent on all sugars and a payment of the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. Mr. Caffery said that he was in favor of the Finance Committee and had not been given them. Both the Louisiana Senators de-

clared that if this change in the present tariff was made the Louisiana people would insist that the full measure of protection promised them in the pending bill should be granted. They would insist against the bill. The tariff bill would insist that the 40 percent on raw sugar, the one-eighth of a cent differential on refined, which benefited their people as much as it did the refiners, be given them, and that the bounty for 1894 should be paid the growers of sugar in this country, and the treaty with Spain abrogated. Unless this was done, they served notice on the caucus that they would vote against the bill. It mattered little to them what instructions were given the conference, which they considered about as the final agreement. If it did not include the full measure of protection, they would insist that the tariff bill be sent back to the conference.

Mr. Vilez spoke in reply to this, and again stated that the bill had been taken out of the hands of the Louisiana delegation, and that he made a speech in which he said that the Louisiana Senators had the floor in the Senate about all the time lately, and while they are constantly complaining that the sugar interests of their State are not properly protected and accusing the Democrats of bad faith and treachery, they are nevertheless found voting with the Democratic Senators. On the night of July 2, when the tariff bill was passed, both of the Senators from Louisiana were almost beside themselves with rage at what they called the lack of faith of their Democratic colleagues in abandoning the proposition for the maintenance of the sugar bounty. They were so indignant, he alleged, that they were dealing of the Senators on the Finance Committee that one of them (Mr. Caffery) actually voted on the passage of the tariff bill, but when he found that his colleagues (Mr. Blanchard) was not so indignant as he, he changed his vote, and thus made it possible for the bill to pass. Mr. Vilez had the Louisiana Senators made good their repeated threats they would have voted no and defeated the bill which they professed to oppose.

Now the Senate is suffering again from an attack of Louisianaism. For more than an hour yesterday Mr. Caffery talked away about the sugar interests of Louisiana, and went through the same performance to-day. Nobody had a clear idea of what he was talking about, and some of his colleagues thought he was drunk. In the public press, and private conversation, the Louisiana Senators have announced over and over again that the least amount of protection they will accept as a return for their vote is a 45 percent ad valorem duty on all sugars and a payment of one-half the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. If they get that or better, they say, they will vote for the bill. If less is offered them they will vote against it. Without their votes the bill cannot pass, but nevertheless its opponents are not figuring on these two votes to defeat it.

Secretary Carlisle's participation in today's caucus removed from the minds of his friends the hope, which they have repeatedly expressed during the past few days, that he would resign the indignity placed upon him by the President in appointing him to the Cabinet. Those who have known Mr. Carlisle well for many years are utterly at a loss to account for his apparently utter lack of feeling in the matter. It is true that they have been forced by his submission to the President to believe that he has lost much of his independence in political matters since coming under the domination of President Cleveland, but still they wonder that such little pride as is left does not induce him to resign his office. Several times during the brief interview which he had with the President, Secretary Carlisle has been humiliated by the action of the President in taking the reins in his own hands and riding rough shod over the policy of the Secretary of the Treasury.

In this fact, in the opinion of Mr. Carlisle's friends, is the explanation of the President's latest caprice. In the spring of 1893, when the whole country was interested in the struggle that Secretary Carlisle was making to maintain the gold reserve of the Treasury, he took the public into his confidence and announced that he was making preparations to resign his office. The circular of instructions had already been issued on this subject when the President, wincing under the criticism of the Congress, and the public, pushed Secretary Carlisle, and in a few days after the interview announced that the Administration had never for a moment thought of doing what Secretary Carlisle had already made arrangements to do. Then the long fight over the silver repeal bill brought a sudden change in the President's attitude, and he announced to the country that he was now abandoning Democratic principles as guilty of perjury and dishonesty. Secretary Carlisle is the most conspicuous of that faction of Democrats; but instead of resenting the President's insult, he went today to the conference with the President, and he was not only helped by another compromise, which may possibly be rejected with the same scorn with which his previous ones have been.

All of the Democrats in Washington, except a few of the more conspicuous worshippers of Grover Cleveland, are now in a state of confusion. The expression of astonishment at the submission of Secretary Carlisle and his apparent failure to appreciate the fact that he has been humiliated before the country, and that the country knows it. His attendance upon the Senate caucus to-day, however, kills all hope of his friends in the accuracy of the widely circulated reports that he contemplated handing his resignation to President Cleveland as once.

THE SENATE CAUCUS.

It Agrees to Send the Tariff Bill Back to Conference Without Action.

By the United Press.

WASHINGTON, July 23.—If the action of today's Democratic caucus is obeyed, to-morrow will witness an end of the debate on the conference report on the tariff bill and that measure will go back to the conference without instructions. After a debate in caucus running over three hours yesterday and upward of that time to-day, Mr. Jarvis's resolution that the bill be sent back without instructions was agreed to by unanimous consent, although at no time did Mr. Jarvis make any motion to strike out the differential duty on refined sugar. The caucus met promptly at 3 o'clock, and the first speech was that of Mr. Gordon, who counselled unity of action and hoped that the result of the meeting would be that all proposed motions looking to instructions to the conference would be withdrawn and the bill sent back with a simple instance of the Senate upon its amendments. Several other speeches were made in this spirit by Senators Gray, Jarvis, Lindsay, Walsh, and others.

The first step in this direction necessitated the ascertainment of what Mr. Vilez intended to do in regard to his motion to strike out the differential duty placed upon refined sugar. In response to a direct question put to him, Mr. Vilez said that he was in favor of striking out this differential, because he believed that by so doing much of the opposition that was manifested against the bill would be removed, and the way would be paved for the adjustment of the tariff between the two Houses. With this duty, which he said was the chief bone of contention, out of the way, there could be nothing to interfere with a speedy settlement of all the troubles and the early passage of the bill, and the approval of the President. For that reason Mr. Vilez said it was a proposition to press the motion and cause it to prevail if possible.

Mr. Caffery and Mr. Blanchard both spoke on the sugar schedule, and advocated a "flat" duty of 45 percent on all sugars and a payment of the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. Mr. Caffery said that he was in favor of the Finance Committee and had not been given them. Both the Louisiana Senators de-

clared that if this change in the present tariff was made the Louisiana people would insist that the full measure of protection promised them in the pending bill should be granted. They would insist against the bill. The tariff bill would insist that the 40 percent on raw sugar, the one-eighth of a cent differential on refined, which benefited their people as much as it did the refiners, be given them, and that the bounty for 1894 should be paid the growers of sugar in this country, and the treaty with Spain abrogated. Unless this was done, they served notice on the caucus that they would vote against the bill. It mattered little to them what instructions were given the conference, which they considered about as the final agreement. If it did not include the full measure of protection, they would insist that the tariff bill be sent back to the conference.

Mr. Vilez spoke in reply to this, and again stated that the bill had been taken out of the hands of the Louisiana delegation, and that he made a speech in which he said that the Louisiana Senators had the floor in the Senate about all the time lately, and while they are constantly complaining that the sugar interests of their State are not properly protected and accusing the Democrats of bad faith and treachery, they are nevertheless found voting with the Democratic Senators. On the night of July 2, when the tariff bill was passed, both of the Senators from Louisiana were almost beside themselves with rage at what they called the lack of faith of their Democratic colleagues in abandoning the proposition for the maintenance of the sugar bounty. They were so indignant, he alleged, that they were dealing of the Senators on the Finance Committee that one of them (Mr. Caffery) actually voted on the passage of the tariff bill, but when he found that his colleagues (Mr. Blanchard) was not so indignant as he, he changed his vote, and thus made it possible for the bill to pass. Mr. Vilez had the Louisiana Senators made good their repeated threats they would have voted no and defeated the bill which they professed to oppose.

Secretary Carlisle's participation in today's caucus removed from the minds of his friends the hope, which they have repeatedly expressed during the past few days, that he would resign the indignity placed upon him by the President in appointing him to the Cabinet. Those who have known Mr. Carlisle well for many years are utterly at a loss to account for his apparently utter lack of feeling in the matter. It is true that they have been forced by his submission to the President to believe that he has lost much of his independence in political matters since coming under the domination of President Cleveland, but still they wonder that such little pride as is left does not induce him to resign his office. Several times during the brief interview which he had with the President, Secretary Carlisle has been humiliated by the action of the President in taking the reins in his own hands and riding rough shod over the policy of the Secretary of the Treasury.

In this fact, in the opinion of Mr. Carlisle's friends, is the explanation of the President's latest caprice. In the spring of 1893, when the whole country was interested in the struggle that Secretary Carlisle was making to maintain the gold reserve of the Treasury, he took the public into his confidence and announced that he was making preparations to resign his office. The circular of instructions had already been issued on this subject when the President, wincing under the criticism of the Congress, and the public, pushed Secretary Carlisle, and in a few days after the interview announced that the Administration had never for a moment thought of doing what Secretary Carlisle had already made arrangements to do. Then the long fight over the silver repeal bill brought a sudden change in the President's attitude, and he announced to the country that he was now abandoning Democratic principles as guilty of perjury and dishonesty. Secretary Carlisle is the most conspicuous of that faction of Democrats; but instead of resenting the President's insult, he went today to the conference with the President, and he was not only helped by another compromise, which may possibly be rejected with the same scorn with which his previous ones have been.

THE SENATE CAUCUS.

It Agrees to Send the Tariff Bill Back to Conference Without Action.

By the United Press.

WASHINGTON, July 23.—If the action of today's Democratic caucus is obeyed, to-morrow will witness an end of the debate on the conference report on the tariff bill and that measure will go back to the conference without instructions. After a debate in caucus running over three hours yesterday and upward of that time to-day, Mr. Jarvis's resolution that the bill be sent back without instructions was agreed to by unanimous consent, although at no time did Mr. Jarvis make any motion to strike out the differential duty on refined sugar. The caucus met promptly at 3 o'clock, and the first speech was that of Mr. Gordon, who counselled unity of action and hoped that the result of the meeting would be that all proposed motions looking to instructions to the conference would be withdrawn and the bill sent back with a simple instance of the Senate upon its amendments. Several other speeches were made in this spirit by Senators Gray, Jarvis, Lindsay, Walsh, and others.

The first step in this direction necessitated the ascertainment of what Mr. Vilez intended to do in regard to his motion to strike out the differential duty placed upon refined sugar. In response to a direct question put to him, Mr. Vilez said that he was in favor of striking out this differential, because he believed that by so doing much of the opposition that was manifested against the bill would be removed, and the way would be paved for the adjustment of the tariff between the two Houses. With this duty, which he said was the chief bone of contention, out of the way, there could be nothing to interfere with a speedy settlement of all the troubles and the early passage of the bill, and the approval of the President. For that reason Mr. Vilez said it was a proposition to press the motion and cause it to prevail if possible.

Mr. Caffery and Mr. Blanchard both spoke on the sugar schedule, and advocated a "flat" duty of 45 percent on all sugars and a payment of the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. Mr. Caffery said that he was in favor of the Finance Committee and had not been given them. Both the Louisiana Senators de-

clared that if this change in the present tariff was made the Louisiana people would insist that the full measure of protection promised them in the pending bill should be granted. They would insist against the bill. The tariff bill would insist that the 40 percent on raw sugar, the one-eighth of a cent differential on refined, which benefited their people as much as it did the refiners, be given them, and that the bounty for 1894 should be paid the growers of sugar in this country, and the treaty with Spain abrogated. Unless this was done, they served notice on the caucus that they would vote against the bill. It mattered little to them what instructions were given the conference, which they considered about as the final agreement. If it did not include the full measure of protection, they would insist that the tariff bill be sent back to the conference.

Mr. Vilez spoke in reply to this, and again stated that the bill had been taken out of the hands of the Louisiana delegation, and that he made a speech in which he said that the Louisiana Senators had the floor in the Senate about all the time lately, and while they are constantly complaining that the sugar interests of their State are not properly protected and accusing the Democrats of bad faith and treachery, they are nevertheless found voting with the Democratic Senators. On the night of July 2, when the tariff bill was passed, both of the Senators from Louisiana were almost beside themselves with rage at what they called the lack of faith of their Democratic colleagues in abandoning the proposition for the maintenance of the sugar bounty. They were so indignant, he alleged, that they were dealing of the Senators on the Finance Committee that one of them (Mr. Caffery) actually voted on the passage of the tariff bill, but when he found that his colleagues (Mr. Blanchard) was not so indignant as he, he changed his vote, and thus made it possible for the bill to pass. Mr. Vilez had the Louisiana Senators made good their repeated threats they would have voted no and defeated the bill which they professed to oppose.

Now the Senate is suffering again from an attack of Louisianaism. For more than an hour yesterday Mr. Caffery talked away about the sugar interests of Louisiana, and went through the same performance to-day. Nobody had a clear idea of what he was talking about, and some of his colleagues thought he was drunk. In the public press, and private conversation, the Louisiana Senators have announced over and over again that the least amount of protection they will accept as a return for their vote is a 45 percent ad valorem duty on all sugars and a payment of one-half the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. If they get that or better, they say, they will vote for the bill. If less is offered them they will vote against it. Without their votes the bill cannot pass, but nevertheless its opponents are not figuring on these two votes to defeat it.

Secretary Carlisle's participation in today's caucus removed from the minds of his friends the hope, which they have repeatedly expressed during the past few days, that he would resign the indignity placed upon him by the President in appointing him to the Cabinet. Those who have known Mr. Carlisle well for many years are utterly at a loss to account for his apparently utter lack of feeling in the matter. It is true that they have been forced by his submission to the President to believe that he has lost much of his independence in political matters since coming under the domination of President Cleveland, but still they wonder that such little pride as is left does not induce him to resign his office. Several times during the brief interview which he had with the President, Secretary Carlisle has been humiliated by the action of the President in taking the reins in his own hands and riding rough shod over the policy of the Secretary of the Treasury.

In this fact, in the opinion of Mr. Carlisle's friends, is the explanation of the President's latest caprice. In the spring of 1893, when the whole country was interested in the struggle that Secretary Carlisle was making to maintain the gold reserve of the Treasury, he took the public into his confidence and announced that he was making preparations to resign his office. The circular of instructions had already been issued on this subject when the President, wincing under the criticism of the Congress, and the public, pushed Secretary Carlisle, and in a few days after the interview announced that the Administration had never for a moment thought of doing what Secretary Carlisle had already made arrangements to do. Then the long fight over the silver repeal bill brought a sudden change in the President's attitude, and he announced to the country that he was now abandoning Democratic principles as guilty of perjury and dishonesty. Secretary Carlisle is the most conspicuous of that faction of Democrats; but instead of resenting the President's insult, he went today to the conference with the President, and he was not only helped by another compromise, which may possibly be rejected with the same scorn with which his previous ones have been.

All of the Democrats in Washington, except a few of the more conspicuous worshippers of Grover Cleveland, are now in a state of confusion. The expression of astonishment at the submission of Secretary Carlisle and his apparent failure to appreciate the fact that he has been humiliated before the country, and that the country knows it. His attendance upon the Senate caucus to-day, however, kills all hope of his friends in the accuracy of the widely circulated reports that he contemplated handing his resignation to President Cleveland as once.

THE SENATE CAUCUS.

It Agrees to Send the Tariff Bill Back to Conference Without Action.

By the United Press.

WASHINGTON, July 23.—If the action of today's Democratic caucus is obeyed, to-morrow will witness an end of the debate on the conference report on the tariff bill and that measure will go back to the conference without instructions. After a debate in caucus running over three hours yesterday and upward of that time to-day, Mr. Jarvis's resolution that the bill be sent back without instructions was agreed to by unanimous consent, although at no time did Mr. Jarvis make any motion to strike out the differential duty on refined sugar. The caucus met promptly at 3 o'clock, and the first speech was that of Mr. Gordon, who counselled unity of action and hoped that the result of the meeting would be that all proposed motions looking to instructions to the conference would be withdrawn and the bill sent back with a simple instance of the Senate upon its amendments. Several other speeches were made in this spirit by Senators Gray, Jarvis, Lindsay, Walsh, and others.

The first step in this direction necessitated the ascertainment of what Mr. Vilez intended to do in regard to his motion to strike out the differential duty placed upon refined sugar. In response to a direct question put to him, Mr. Vilez said that he was in favor of striking out this differential, because he believed that by so doing much of the opposition that was manifested against the bill would be removed, and the way would be paved for the adjustment of the tariff between the two Houses. With this duty, which he said was the chief bone of contention, out of the way, there could be nothing to interfere with a speedy settlement of all the troubles and the early passage of the bill, and the approval of the President. For that reason Mr. Vilez said it was a proposition to press the motion and cause it to prevail if possible.

Mr. Caffery and Mr. Blanchard both spoke on the sugar schedule, and advocated a "flat" duty of 45 percent on all sugars and a payment of the McKinley bounty on this year's sugar crop. Mr. Caffery said that he was in favor of the Finance Committee and had not been given them. Both the Louisiana Senators de-

clared that if this change in the present tariff was made the Louisiana people would insist that the full measure of protection promised them in the pending bill should be granted. They would insist against the bill. The tariff bill would insist that the 40 percent on raw sugar, the one-eighth of a cent differential on refined, which benefited their people as much as it did the refiners, be given them, and that the bounty for 1894 should be paid the growers of sugar in this country, and the treaty with Spain abrogated. Unless this was done, they served notice on the caucus that they would vote against the bill. It mattered little to them what instructions were given the conference, which they considered about as the final agreement. If it did not include the full measure of protection, they would insist that the tariff bill be sent back to the conference.

Mr. Vilez spoke in reply to this, and again stated that the bill had been taken out of the hands of the Louisiana delegation, and that he made a speech in which he said that the Louisiana Senators had the floor in the Senate about all the time lately, and while they are constantly complaining that the sugar interests of their State are not properly protected and accusing the Democrats of bad faith and treachery, they are nevertheless found voting with the Democratic Senators. On the night of July 2, when the tariff bill was passed, both of the Senators from Louisiana were almost beside themselves with rage at what they called the lack of faith of their Democratic colleagues in abandoning the proposition for the maintenance of the sugar bounty. They were so indignant, he alleged, that they were dealing of the Senators on the Finance Committee that one of them (Mr. Caffery) actually voted on the passage of the tariff bill, but when he found that his colleagues (Mr. Blanchard) was not so indignant as he, he changed his vote, and thus made it possible for the bill to pass. Mr. Vilez had the Louisiana Senators made good their repeated threats they would have voted no and defeated the bill which they professed to oppose.

As Much More Went For Bicycles, Boat Hire, and Previous Notions Living-A Good Deal Not Accounted For-It Was Money His Mother Gave Him Just Before She Died, For Which His Father Had Looked All Over the House in Vain.

OSCAR BRATZ, 17 years old, of 841 Bergen street, Newark, and Andrew Gomminder, 10 years old, of 33 Charles street, Newark, were arrested in Connor's concert hall, Coney Island, by Police Detectives Coughler and Harrell at 1 A. M. yesterday on a charge of carrying loaded revolvers. The two boys had been in the hall since Saturday. They were well dressed, plenty of money, which they spent freely, wore diamonds, and each had a good watch and chain; each also had a bicycle and a revolver. The police suspected the boys of stealing their possessions, and were accordingly arrested on the technicality of carrying concealed weapons. When searched, Bratz had \$100.25 in his pockets, and Gomminder had over \$130.

The two boys were arraigned in the Coney Island Police Court before Justice Finney yesterday morning. Bratz said he was the leader of the two boys, and that he was a friend of the late John J. Crawford, who was killed in the yacht. Bratz had taken up and furnished with money. He said his father was Justin Bratz, a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

They then left their latest purchase at Gravesend Bay and went to Brooklyn. There they bought a bicycle and a revolver. They then spent some time at the beach, and then they went to the yacht. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

When the boys were arraigned Bratz's father, Justin Bratz, was on hand. He said he was a collector for Valentine's brewery in Newark. Bratz said he got his money from his mother, who left a large sum to him when she died last January. About ten days ago he had a quarrel with her and ran away from home in consequence, which his friend with him. The two had \$1,700 between them. They hired a yacht from P. Howers in Newark, and paying \$50 a week in advance, started on a pleasure trip. They first went to Norton's Point, Coney Island, and spent the night there. They then went to the yacht. Becoming tired of this sort of fun, they paid Capt. John McCarroll of the tug Tessa of Coney Island \$25 to take the yacht to New York. Bratz said he and Gomminder had been in the yacht for a day or two, and were tired of their second experience on the water.

DO NOT LEAVE YOUR OFFICE.
Call
American District Messenger.
Send your Advertising
to
THE SUN.
NO EXTRA CHARGE.
Messenger knows the rates.